

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,390	09/29/2005	Higashi Ko	HEI-011	3327	
32628 KANESAKA	7590 02/11/200 BERNER AND PARTI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGONAL RD			WILLIAMS,	WILLIAMS, MONICA L	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314-2848	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	3644			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,390	KO, HIGASHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MONICA L. WILLIAMS	3644		

	MONICA L. WILLIAMS	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 3-months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core			cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloto) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. \(\times\) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\times\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(Claim(s) allowed: \times\) Claim(s) objected to: \(Claim(s) rejected: \frac{19-30}{19-30} \) \(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \times\)		I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/Michael R Mansen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3644					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. The response does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner maintains the rejection of Ko in view of Marshall. In response to applicant's arguments that the examiner fails to take into notification the entire disclosure of Marshall et al for the fiber mat with vertically oriented fibers, Examiner is not substituting the mat of Marshall for the mat of Ko discloses the claimed invention including the interconnection of the fiber aggregate layer to the outer substitution of the control of the fiber and substitution. You does not specifically disclose vertically oriented fibers. However, what Marshall does disclose is that it is known to make fiber mat is not us have as to have fiber entanglement in both vertical and horizontal directions.